

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Eric J. Simso	01-035	6024
	EXAM	NER
	HO, UYEN T	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Mail Stop A150 Maple Grove, MN 55311-1566	3731	
	Eric J. Simso	EXAMI HO, UY ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/053,298	SIMSO, ERIC J.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR-1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Se	<u>eptember 2004</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-18 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7,9-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3731

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a): The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the proximal ridge must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification fails to disclose the second guide wire positioned adjacent to a central shaft.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dorros (5,720,735) in view of Blaeser et al. 6,168,617. Dorros discloses a stent delivering system including a central shaft (62), a guide wire (12) movably positioned adjacent to the central shaft, a removable sheath (60) having a wall portion including an opening (70) extending to the distal end of the sheath (see figure 7), a tip positioned at distal end of the sheath (fig. 5) and a second guide wire (20). However, Dorros fails to

Art Unit: 3731

disclose the tip being overlapping the distal end of the sheath (60). Blaeser et al. disclose a tip/distal cuff (32 or sock 38) being overlapping the distal end of a delivering sheath in order to provide a better securement to the sleeve and retaining the stent in an unexpanding state in a delivering step (col. 4, line 20 to col. 5, line 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to construct the tip as disclosed by Dorros such that the tip closely surround the exterior surface of the delivering sheath at the distal end in order to provide a better securement to the delivering sheath until deployment of the stent.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/04 have been fully considered but they are 4. partially not persuasive. Applicants argue that Dorros does not suggest a guide wire that is movably positioned adjacent to the central shaft, wherein the guide wire passes through an opening in the wall portion of the sheath and the opening extending from a predetermined position on the sheath to the distal end of the sheath. Examiner disagrees. Dorros discloses a guide wire that is movably positioned adjacent to the central shaft, wherein the guide wire passes through an opening in the wall portion of the sheath (see the rejection above and figures 5-9 of Dorros reference).

Applicants argue that there is no motivation to modify Blaeser reference to use a guide wire that is movably positioned adjacent to the central shaft wherein the guide wire passes through an opening in the wall portion of the sheath. Examiner agrees. Art Unit: 3731

Therefore, the previous rejection under USC 103, claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7 and 8 over Blaeser

is withdrawn.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is

571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tah-Uven T. Ho

gerereil the

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3731

December